

DM -
Inconceivable
v. - Clerk's return

23

FILED ProSe 1 (Rev 5/16) Complaint for a Civil Case

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

TERRON M Sanders

(Write the full name of each plaintiff who is filing this complaint. If the names of all the plaintiffs cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)

v.

Kelly Sennholz
et al

(Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the names of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)

Case: 2:17-cv-10578

Judge: Tarnow, Arthur J.

MJ: Stafford, Elizabeth A.

Filed: 02-17-2017 At 10:04 AM

CMP SANDERS v SENNHOLZ, ET AL (dat)

(to be filled in by the Clerk's Office)

Jury Trial: ☒ Yes ☐ No
(check one)

Complaint for a Civil Case

MIED ProSe 1 (Rev 5/16) Complaint for a Civil Case

I. The Parties to This Complaint**A. The Plaintiff(s)**

Provide the information below for each plaintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional pages if needed.

Name	<u>Jerroll Sanders</u>
Street Address	<u>19315 Monica</u>
City and County	<u>Detroit</u>
State and Zip Code	<u>MICHIGAN, 48221</u>
Telephone Number	<u>202.499-8286</u>
E-mail Address	<u>Jerrollms@yahoo.com</u>

B. The Defendant(s)

Provide the information below for each defendant named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. For an individual defendant, include the person's job or title (if known). Attach additional pages if needed.

See Attached

Defendant No. 1

Name	_____
Job or Title (if known)	_____
Street Address	_____
City and County	_____
State and Zip Code	_____
Telephone Number	_____
E-mail Address (if known)	_____

Defendant No. 2

Name	_____
Job or Title (if known)	_____
Street Address	_____
City and County	_____
State and Zip Code	_____
Telephone Number	_____
E-mail Address (if known)	_____

DEFENDANTS

Kelly Sennholz

2480 Yate Street
Denver, CO 80212
303.744.3100

Kirstin Elaine Martin

118 Prospect Street
Gloucester, MA
917.523.9163

Diane Blumstein

276 Chestnut Hill Ave, Apt. #11
Brighton, MA 02135
857.928.2094
Email: Diblu2000@gmail.com

Donna Soodalter-Toman

30 Starknaught Heights
Gloucester, MA 01930
617.447.7527
Email: Donnastoman@rcn.com

Nancy Goodman

12 Caleb's Lane
Rockport, MA 01966
978.290.0702
Email: Ngoodman52@yahoo.com

 MIED ProSe 1 (Rev 5/16) Complaint for a Civil Case

Defendant No. 3

Name _____
 Job or Title _____
 (if known) _____
 Street Address _____
 City and County _____
 State and Zip Code _____
 Telephone Number _____
 E-mail Address _____
 (if known) _____

Defendant No. 4

Name _____
 Job or Title _____
 (if known) _____
 Street Address _____
 City and County _____
 State and Zip Code _____
 Telephone Number _____
 E-mail Address _____
 (if known) _____

II. Basis for Jurisdiction

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation and the amount at stake is more than \$75,000 is a diversity of citizenship case. In a diversity of citizenship case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff.

What is the basis for federal court jurisdiction? *(check all that apply)*

☐ Federal question

☒ Diversity of citizenship

Fill out the paragraphs in this section that apply to this case.

MIED ProSe 1 (Rev 5/16) Complaint for a Civil Case

A. If the Basis for Jurisdiction Is a Federal Question

List the specific federal statutes, federal treaties, and/or provisions of the United States Constitution that are at issue in this case.

B. If the Basis for Jurisdiction Is Diversity of Citizenship

1. The Plaintiff(s)

a. If the plaintiff is an individual

The plaintiff, (name) TERROIL SANDERS,
is a citizen of the State of (name) MICHIGAN.

b. If the plaintiff is a corporation

The plaintiff, (name) _____,
is incorporated under the laws of the State of (name) _____,
and has its principal place of business in the
State of (name) _____.

(If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional plaintiff.)

2. The Defendant(s)

a. If the defendant is an individual

The defendant, (name) Kelly Sennholz, is a citizen of the
State of (name) DENVER, COLORADO. Or is a citizen of (foreign
nation) _____.

b. If the defendant is a corporation

The defendant, (name) _____, is incorporated
under the laws of the State of (name) _____, and
has its principal place of business in the State of (name) _____.
Or is incorporated under the laws of
(foreign nation) _____, and has its principal place
of business in (name) _____.

(If more than one defendant is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional defendant.)

MIED ProSe 1 (Rev 5/16) Complaint for a Civil Case

3. The Amount in Controversy

The amount in controversy—the amount the plaintiff claims the defendant owes or the amount at stake—is more than \$75,000, not counting interest and costs of court, because (*explain*): 5,000,000

III. Statement of Claim

Write a short and plain statement of the claim. Do not make legal arguments. State as briefly as possible the facts showing that each plaintiff is entitled to the damages or other relief sought. State how each defendant was involved and what each defendant did that caused the plaintiff harm or violated the plaintiff's rights, including the dates and places of that involvement or conduct. If more than one claim is asserted, number each claim and write a short and plain statement of each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional pages if needed.

See attached

MIED ProSe 1 (Rev 5/16) Complaint for a Civil Case

IV. Relief

State briefly and precisely what damages or other relief the plaintiff asks the court to order. Do not make legal arguments. Include any basis for claiming that the wrongs alleged are continuing at the present time. Include the amounts of any actual damages claimed for the acts alleged and the basis for these amounts. Include any punitive or exemplary damages claimed, the amounts, and the reasons you claim you are entitled to actual or punitive money damages.

See attached

V. Certification and Closing

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.


A. For Parties Without an Attorney

I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address where case-related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Date of signing: 2-17, 2017

Signature of Plaintiff

Printed Name of Plaintiff


Terroll Sanders

MIED ProSe 1 (Rev 5/16) Complaint for a Civil Case

Additional Information:

See Attached

*Transcript for
CK returned*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Michigan

Jerroll M. Sanders,

Plaintiff
Pro Se,

vs.

Kelly Sennholz,

Kirstin Elaine Martin,

Diane Blumstein,

Donna Soodalter-Toman,

Nancy Goodman,

Defendants

Case:2:17-cv-10578

Judge: Tarnow, Arthur J.

MJ: Stafford, Elizabeth A.

Filed: 02-17-2017 At 10:04 AM

CMP SANDERS v SENNHOLZ, ET AL (dat)

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT FOR A CIVIL CASE

DEFENDANTS

Kelly Sennholz
2480 Yate Street
Denver, CO 80212
303.744.3100
Email: ksennholzmd@gmail.com

Kirstin Elaine Martin
118 Prospect Street
Gloucester, MA
917.523.9163
Kirstin@sparx3.com

Diane Blumstein
276 Chestnut Hill Ave, Apt. #11
Brighton, MA 02135
857.928.2094
Email: Diblu2000@gmail.com

Donna Soodalter-Toman
30 Starknought Heights
Gloucester, MA 01930
Email: Donnastoman@rcn.com
617.447.7527

Nancy Goodman
12 Caleb's Lane
Rockport, MA 01966
978.290.0702
Email: Ngoodman52@yahoo.com

JURISDICTION

This court has jurisdiction and authority pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Plaintiff Jerroll M. Sanders launched a citizen initiative on or about November 13, 2016, that sought to secure a revote of the 2016 elections. Defendant Kirstin Elaine Martin joined with Sanders about late November on the revote effort. Weeks later, Kelly Sennholz also joined Sanders' effort. The parties were aided by more than 20 citizens who routinely provided contacts, contributed financially, and provided other forms of important support to advance the effort. Members of the public also routinely contributed to the effort and provided aid in various forms.

Plaintiff Sanders functioned as the group's lead and legal strategist. Kirstin Martin performed an important marketing and outreach function. Kelly Sennholz—a latecomer—managed social media and continued to raise funds via a GoFundMe account she established before joining the group. Sennholz's GoFundMe account listed a very high fundraising goal (about \$300,000) even though Sennholz had no project underway or idea for a project when she joined the group. Funds donated to Sennholz's GoFundMe account continue to flow directly into Sennholz's personal account.

The more than 20 core members of the group routinely interacted with Sanders, Sennholz, and Martin via ongoing email exchanges, telephone calls, and

1 text messages. They directed legal questions to Sanders, funding questions to
2 Sennholz, and suggestions or questions for outreach and contacts to Martin.

3
4 Plaintiff Sanders crafted the legal strategy that has become the
5 underpinnings of the group's revote effort. With strategy in hand, Plaintiff Sanders,
6 Defendant Martin and other members of the group worked to identify an attorney
7 who was willing to act upon Sanders' strategy or formulate a new strategy that had
8 a realistic chance of achieving a revote. After an exhaustive and ongoing effort to
9 identify an attorney who would represent the group proved fruitless, Sanders
10 commenced drafting a writ of mandamus based upon the Guarantee Clause. The
11 writ sought to permanently enjoin the swearing-in of newly elected congressional
12 members, ratification of electoral votes, and swearing in of the President-elect and
13 Vice President-elect on January 20, 2017.
14

15
16 Plaintiff Sanders' legal strategy called for sets of citizens to file briefs (writs
17 of mandamus) in different federal court venues: Sanders and Defendant Kelly
18 Sennholz filed in U.S. District Court, District of Colorado (C17-CV-00048); Kirsten
19 Elaine Martin enlisted her friends to file in Massachusetts Federal District Court,
20 which transferred the case to the United States Court of Appeals For the First
21 Circuit (No. 17-1029); and another set of petitioners filed in the United States
22 District Court, Northern District of California (3:17 cv-00060-JST).
23

24
25 Defendants Blumstein, Goodman, and Soodalter-Toman were made aware
26 the project, its purpose, the case, and the role they would play before they filed the
27 writ of mandamus drafted by Sanders. Defendants paid for the filings with funds
28

1 from Sennholz's GoFundMe account—now deemed the group's GoFundMe account.
2 Sennholz heavily promoted the GoFundMe account on twitter as did other members
3 of the group for the revote project Sanders was spearheading.
4

5 All three Courts dismissed/denied Petitioners' requests, including The United
6 States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit in Massachusetts, which stated that
7 Sanders' writ (No. 17-1029) contained a "novel constitutional claim."
8

9 Still unable to find a lawyer, Sanders drafted a U.S. Supreme Court writ of
10 mandamus appeals brief to appeal lower court decisions to the U.S. Supreme Court.
11 Massachusetts Petitioners—Martin's friends—accepted Sanders' brief and filed it at
12 the U.S. Supreme Court. In the meantime, legal scholars began to applaud the
13 quality of Sanders' writ and novel legal argument. CNN Commentators Malcolm
14 Nance, Former CIA Operative Robert Baer and other noted personalities also
15 provided extremely favorable feedback on the writ, including labelling it "brilliant"
16 and "ingenious."
17
18

19 Around mid-January 2017, Defendants Martin and Sennholz initiated a
20 discussion with Sanders about possible book deals and speaking tours. Soon after,
21 both Defendants began to engage in a number of actions that evidenced *bad faith*
22 and intent to "steal" Sanders' work. Sennholz, Martin and the other Defendants
23 continue to engage in a number of tortious acts that are causing extreme injury and
24 emotional distress to Plaintiff Sanders.
25
26
27
28

**COUNT I: CONSPIRACY TO EFFECT THEFT THROUGH
CONVERSION:**

Plaintiff Sanders explained the conditions upon which she was making use of her writ available and never expressed intent to confer her work or control of the revote project to those who signed and filed the writs.

When the writs drafted by Sanders began to earn praise, Defendants Sennholz and Martin enlisted Defendants Blumstein, Soodalter-Toman and Goodman—all of whom are Petitioners on the revote writ pending before the U.S. Supreme Court—to seize ownership and control of Sanders' work while ousting Sanders by:

- Foreclosing all communications with Sanders;
- Establishing their own separate website and social media accounts;
- Declaring to the large group they should no longer communicate with Sanders because the Petitioners are in control of the revote effort; and
- Falsely claiming that Sennholz and Martin helped draft writs that were drafted solely by Sanders.

In the meantime, Defendants continue to use Sanders' work as the basis for fundraising and self-promotion, which includes securing a \$10,000 contribution from Rosie O'Donnell. At same time, Defendants conceal Sanders' authorship of the writs and leadership on the project.

COUNT II: CONSPIRACY TO INFRINGE UPON COPYRIGHT

Plaintiff Sanders is the sole author of the copyrighted writs as well as the revote motions and legal strategy. Defendants continue to use Plaintiff's work without her permission, representing it as their own.

COUNT III: CONSPIRACY TO DEFAME

Sanders has a strong record of integrity, performance and innovation among clients and the national community at large. Defendants have repeatedly defamed Sanders by:

- Publishing false and damaging statements about Sanders on the website Revote17.org, on Twitter, Facebook, blogs, and in other print and electronic venues;
- Publishing false and damaging statements that cast Sanders as a liar for claiming to be the sole creator of the revote, including the writ as well as the appeals writ that is now before the U.S. Supreme Court;
- Claiming they helped write the writ, which casts Sanders as a liar when she proclaims she singularly wrote all the legal documents associated with this effort to date;
- Publishing false and damaging statements about Sanders' character and motives via emails and in public forums.

1 **COUNT IV: DEFAMATION BY IMPLICATION AND**
2 **ASSOCIATION**

3 Defendants have created a website and social media accounts that closely
4 mirror the website and social media accounts started by the original revote team led
5 by Sanders. Defendants' actions have made it almost impossible for Sanders to
6 distance herself from Sennholz's and Martin's questionable fundraising practices
7 that funnel funds directly into Sennholz's personal account, including the \$10,000
8 donation from Rosie O'Donnell.
9

10 Sanders has complained repeatedly about Sennholz's refusal to provide a
11 detailed accounting of funds raised and expended. Defendant Sennholz makes a
12 plethora of false and damaging statements on her GoFundMe page that members of
13 the public are likely to attribute to Sanders.
14
15

16 **COUNT V: BREACH OF CONTRACT**

17 Defendants Blumstein, Soodalter-Toman, and Goodman were advised in
18 advance about Sanders's legal strategy that included having citizens file writs in
19 multiple courts. Defendants used Sanders' writs not once, but twice—with full
20 knowledge of the groups' expectations. They knew Sanders did not write the writs
21 for them personally or for their self-promotion and did not intend for the writs to be
22 used on a revote project led by another team.
23
24

25 Facts and circumstances affirm that Sanders and her trusted partners—
26 Kirsten Elaine Martin, Kelly Sennholz, and Petitioners—evidenced early on mutual
27
28

1 intent to forge a contract by the manner in which they performed, the circumstances
2 that characterized their relationship and the substance of their communications.
3 Plaintiff and Defendants also had very defined roles. During the course of their
4 interactions, Sennholz and Martin repeatedly breached their contract with Sanders
5 by:
6

- 7 ▪ Urging Defendants Blumstein, Soodalter-Toman, and Goodman—writ
8 Petitioners—to abstain from talking to Sanders;
9
- 10 ▪ Committing a series of tortious acts against Sanders;
11
- 12 ▪ Repeatedly advising members of the larger revote team that they
13 should disregard Sanders because the Petitioners on the writ are in
14 control;
15
- 16 ▪ Falsely disparaging Sanders' performance and blaming her for printing
17 delays that were, in fact, due to the inexplicable interventions of
18 Sennholz;
19
- 20 ▪ Encouraging Defendants named on the revote petition to undermine
21 Sanders and hand Martin and Sennholz the leadership reigns on the
22 revote project; and
23
- 24 ▪ Repeatedly intervening to stifle Sanders' efforts to publicize the revote
25 effort she began.
26
27
28

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff prays that the Court hold all defendants jointly and severally liable for the extensive tort injuries, damage to Sanders reputation and theft of her intellectual property in the amount of \$5,000,000.

Dated the 16th day of February 2017:
Jerroll M. Sanders, Pro

Se

(Rev. 08/16)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

County in which action arose: _____

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Jerroll Sanders

DEFENDANTS

Kelly Sennholz

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff

Detroit, MI

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant

Denver CO

(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

Wayne C

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

19315 MONICA
Detroit, MI 48221

Case: 2:17-cv-10578

Judge: Tamow, Arthur J.

MJ: Stafford, Elizabeth A.

Filed: 02-17-2017 At 10:04 AM

CMP SANDERS v SENNHOLZ, ET AL (dat)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☒ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSD Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding
- ☐ 2 Removed from State Court
- ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
- ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

28 U.S.C. Section 1332

Brief description of cause:

Defendants Committed Tort Offenses

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$

\$5,000,000

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions)

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DATE

2/16/17

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

James A. Sanders

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1. Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?

☐ Yes
☒ No

If yes, give the following information:

Court: _____

Case No.: _____

Judge: _____

2. Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)

☐ Yes
☒ No

If yes, give the following information:

Court: _____

Case No.: _____

Judge: _____

Notes :

New LawsUIT Check List

Instructions: Put a check mark in the box next to each appropriate entry to be sure you have all the required documents.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Two (2) completed Civil Cover Sheets .	<p>Case: 2:17-cv-10578 Judge: Tarnow, Arthur J. MJ: Stafford, Elizabeth A. Filed: 02-17-2017 At 10:04 AM CMP SANDERS v SENNHOLZ, ET AL (dat)</p>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Enter the number of defendants named in your lawsuit in the blank below, add 2 and then enter the total in the blank.</p> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="text-align: center; margin-right: 10px;"> 5 + 2 = 7 </div> <div>Complaints.</div> </div> <p style="font-size: small; margin-top: 5px;"># of Defendants Total</p> <p>Received by Clerk: <u><i>[Signature]</i></u> Addresses are complete: <u><i>[Signature]</i></u></p>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>If any of your defendants are government agencies: Provide two (2) extra copies of the complaint for the U.S. Attorney and the Attorney General.</p>		
If Paying The Filing Fee:		If Asking That The Filing Fee Be Waived:	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Current new civil action filing fee is attached. <i>incorrect fee amount</i> Fees may be paid by check or money order made out to: <u>Clerk, U.S. District Court</u> <i>see below</i> Received by Clerk: _____ Receipt #: _____</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Two (2) completed Application to Proceed in District Court without Prepaying Fees or Costs forms. <i>see below</i> Received by Clerk: _____</p>
Select the Method of Service you will employ to notify your defendants:			
Service via Summons by Self	Service by U.S. Marshal <small>(Only available if fee is waived)</small>	Service via Waiver of Summons <small>(U.S. Government cannot be a defendant)</small>	
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Two (2) completed summons for each defendant including each defendant's name and address.</p> <p><i>incomplete summons - no plaintiff address</i></p> <p>Received by Clerk: _____</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Two (2) completed USM - 285 Forms per defendant, if you are requesting the U.S. Marshal conduct service of your complaint.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Two (2) completed Request for Service by U.S. Marshal form.</p> <p>Received by Clerk: _____</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> You need not submit any forms regarding the Waiver of Summons to the Clerk.</p> <p><u>Once your case has been filed, or the Application to Proceed without Prepaying Fees and Costs has been granted</u>, you will need:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One (1) Notice of a Lawsuit and Request to Waive Service of a Summons form per defendant. Two (2) Waiver of the Service of Summons forms per defendant. <p>Send these forms along with your filed complaint and a self-addressed stamped envelope to each of your defendants.</p>	

Clerk's Office Use Only

Note any deficiencies here:

** incorrect fee sent in - check returned to plaintiff.*
** incomplete summons - plaintiff name & address are not completed*

FedEx

Express

Reusable

STATION

999 Z4
695
10:30
C
02.17

7856 3782 8669
MON - 20 FEB 10:30A
MORNING 2DAY
48226
MI-US DTW



THE SERVICE Provider may make through any previous information information

ORIGIN ID: 2594 (202) 489-8286 JERROL SANDERS 15 RIVERWOOD ESTATES UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	SHIP DATE: 18 FEB 17 ACTIVITY: 1701 LB CNO: 004886034/59F1722 BILL CREDIT CARD
--	---

TO: CLERK'S OFFICE
EASTERN DISTRICT COURT OF MICHIGAN
THEODORE LEVIN US COURTHOUSE
231 W LAFAYETTE BLVD
DETROIT MI 48226

3211
1000 000 - 0000

FedEx
Express



For FedEx Express® Shipments Only

Contents should be compatible with the container and packed securely. For shipping terms and conditions and our limits of liability, refer to the applicable FedEx Express shipping document, the current FedEx Service Guide, or conditions of carriage.

For more information on FedEx Express services, solutions, and shipping locations, go to fedex.com, or contact your nearest FedEx location.

© 2013 FedEx 150949 REV 10/13



See how FedEx connects the world in responsible and resourceful ways at earthismart.fedex.com. Join our efforts by recycling this FedEx pak.

RECEIVED
FEB 17 2017
CLERK OFFICE
DETROIT



99150949

20

1D2787A

26